Approved For Release 2005/11/21 : CIA-RDP78B04770A000600030004-0

## SECRET

25X1

25X1 25X1 25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

WPIC/TDS/D-831-67 5 May 1967

| SUBJECT:  | Request for Overrun of from FY 1965 Funds for Contract No.  | 25<br>25 |
|---|---|----------|
|   |   | 25       |
|   | ne 1965 MPIC contracted with the  | $\neg$   |
| to design and   | fabricate two prototype Modulated-Light Film Viewers within   |          |
|   | erformance ending 22 December 1965 at a cost of plus  | 25       |
| a fee of  |   |          |
| 2. In Oc  | tober 1965 arrangements were made with through prescribed   | 25       |
|   | rocedures, to increase the Scope of Work to include additional  |          |
|   | eatures costing with a corresponding increase in fee of   |          |
| and als   | e to accommodate an anticipated overrun of thus changing  | 25       |
| the contract  | cost to and the fee to a total of   | 25       |
| or roughly  | errun to a level of approximately exclusive of fee, over the contract cost. This information was relayed to the   | e 25     |
| contracting o<br>were given to<br>agreement mig<br>negotiate a s  | over the contract cost. This information was relayed to the fficer on the following day, but no immediate termination orders the contractor due to the possibility that some satisfactory by attained. For the next three weeks attempts were made to atisfactory cost/performance relationship with the contractor. ry solution was reached, and the contractor terminated work as   |          |
| contracting o<br>were given to<br>agreement mig<br>negotiate a s<br>No satisfacto<br>of 1 March 19  | over the contract cost. This information was relayed to the fficer on the following day, but no immediate termination orders the contractor due to the possibility that some satisfactory by the attained. For the next three weeks attempts were made to attain to cost/performance relationship with the contractor. The solution was reached, and the contractor terminated work as 66.  March 1966 the contracting officer instructed by letter to  | €        |
| contracting o<br>were given to<br>agreement mig<br>negotiate a s<br>No satisfacto<br>of 1 March 19  | over the contract cost. This information was relayed to the fficer on the following day, but no immediate termination orders the contractor due to the possibility that some satisfactory by the attained. For the next three weeks attempts were made to attafactory cost/performance relationship with the contractor. The resultion was reached, and the contractor terminated work as 66.  March 1966 the contracting officer instructed by letter to pment in its present state at a total cost including a fee of   | æ        |
| contracting of were given to agreement mignegotiate as No satisfacto of 1 March 19 4. On 25 ship the equitracting offi  | over the contract cost. This information was relayed to the fficer on the following day, but no immediate termination orders the contractor due to the possibility that some satisfactory by the attained. For the next three weeks attempts were made to attain the contractory cost/performance relationship with the contractor, ry solution was reached, and the contractor terminated work as 66.  March 1966 the contracting officer instructed by letter to pment in its present state at a total cost including a fee of responded on 19 April 1966 by a letter stating that the concer's offer was unacceptable and in turn proposed to deliver the  |          |
| contracting of were given to agreement mignegotiate as No satisfacto of 1 March 19 4. On 25 ship the equitracting offi  | over the contract cost. This information was relayed to the fficer on the following day, but no immediate termination orders the contractor due to the possibility that some satisfactory by the attained. For the next three weeks attempts were made to attain the contractor, cost/performance relationship with the contractor, ry solution was reached, and the contractor terminated work as 66.  March 1966 the contracting officer instructed by letter to pment in its present state at a total cost including a fee of responded on 19 April 1966 by a letter stating that the concer's offer was unacceptable and inturn proposed to deliver the a modified contract cost of   | <b>e</b> |
| contracting of were given to agreement mignegotiate as No satisfacto of 1 March 19 4. On 25 ship the equitracting offi  | over the contract cost. This information was relayed to the fficer on the following day, but no immediate termination orders the contractor due to the possibility that some satisfactory by the attained. For the next three weeks attempts were made to attain the contractory cost/performance relationship with the contractor, ry solution was reached, and the contractor terminated work as 66.  March 1966 the contracting officer instructed by letter to pment in its present state at a total cost including a fee of responded on 19 April 1966 by a letter stating that the concer's offer was unacceptable and in turn proposed to deliver the  | 2        |
| contracting of were given to agreement mignegotiate as No satisfacto of 1 March 19 4. On 25 ship the equitable tracting offi equipment at total of  5. Since conferences we Division pers | over the contract cost. This information was relayed to the fficer on the following day, but no immediate termination orders the contractor due to the possibility that some satisfactory by the attained. For the next three weeks attempts were made to attisfactory cost/performance relationship with the contractor. The solution was reached, and the contractor terminated work as 66.  March 1966 the contracting officer instructed by letter to posent in its present state at a total cost including a fee of responded on 19 April 1966 by a letter stating that the concer's offer was unacceptable and inturn proposed to deliver the a modified contract cost of over the contract price.  The proposed to delive the amodified contract cost of these offers was acceptable to both parties, several ere held in an attempt to resolve this dilemma. Frocurement onnel made arrangements for these conferences and consistently | 2        |
| contracting of were given to agreement mignegotiate as No satisfacto of 1 March 19  4. On 25 ship the equitate tracting offic equipment at total of                                       | over the contract cost. This information was relayed to the fficer on the following day, but no immediate termination orders the contractor due to the possibility that some satisfactory by the attained. For the next three weeks attempts were made to attafactory cost/performance relationship with the contractor. The resultion was reached, and the contractor terminated work as 66.  March 1966 the contracting officer instructed by letter to posent in its present state at a total cost including a fee of responded on 19 April 1966 by a letter stating that the concer's offer was unacceptable and inturn proposed to deliver the a modified contract cost of a modified contract cost of responded on the contract price.  The present state at a screptable to both parties, several cost of these offers was acceptable to both parties, several cost beld in an attempt to resolve this dilemma. Frocurement              | 2!       |

## Approved For Release 2005/11/21: CIA-RDP78B04770A000600030004-0

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

|   | Contract No.                                  |  |   |                                       |
|---|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|
|   |   |  |   |                                       |
| 6. 1  | e believe the                                 | t this figure                                  | representa en e   | quitable settlement for               |
| both part                                     | ies and ther                                  | efore, reques                                  | t that the overr  | un cost of                            |
| bringing                                      | the final con                                 | tract price to                                 |   | porcyed and chapfed again             |
| FY 1965 1                                     | funds. The Du                                 | dget and Fine                                  | ecial Branch hat  | affirmed What gufficient              |
| FX 1965 1                                     | funds are <b>avai</b>                         | lable to make                                  | this payment  |                                       |
|   |   |  |   |                                       |
|   |   |  |   |                                       |
|   |   |  |   |                                       |
|   |   |  |   |                                       |
|   |   |  |   |                                       |
|   |   |  |   | onel Char                             |
|   |   | <b>A</b> .                                     |   |                                       |
|   |   | 具  | ssistent for Tec  | bnical Development, MPIC              |
|   |   | <b>A</b>                                       | statent for Tec   | bnical Development, MPIC              |
|   | • •   |  | esistand for Tec  | bnical Development, SPIC              |
| Memor   | andwa for the                                 | Pecord   | esistant for Tec  | bnical Development, SPIC              |
|   | • •   | Pecord   | esistant for Pec  | bnical Development, SPIC              |
| Memor   | andwa for the                                 | Pecord   | ssistent for Pec  |                                       |
| Memor   | andwa for the                                 | Pecord   | ssistant for Pec  | bnical Development, SPIC  22 MAY 1967 |
| Memor<br>of th                                | andwa for the                                 | Pecord   | statant for Pec   |                                       |
| Memor<br>of th                                | endus for the                                 | Record<br>t                                    |   | 22 MAY 1967                           |
| Memor<br>of th                                | endus for the                                 | Record<br>t                                    |   |                                       |
| Memor<br>of th                                | endus for the                                 | Record<br>t<br>HUR C. LUNDAN<br>Director       | nemicana sara mina para salah dan <u>dan kadab</u> ahan S | 22 MAY 1967                           |
| Memor<br>of th                                | endus for the                                 | Record<br>t<br>HUR C. LUNDAN<br>Director       |   | 22 MAY 1967                           |
| Memor<br>of th<br>APPROVED                    | andws for the see same subjectional Photog    | Record<br>t<br>HUR C. LUNDAN<br>Director       | nemicana sara mina para salah dan <u>dan kadab</u> ahan S | 22 MAY 1967                           |
| Memor<br>of th<br>APPROVED<br>Na<br>Distribut | andws for the see same subjectional Photogram | Tecord t HUR C. LUNDAN Director raphic Interp  | retation Center   | 22 MAY 1967                           |
| Memor<br>of th<br>APPROVED<br>Na<br>Distribut | andws for the see same subjectional Photogram | HUR C. LUNDARD Director raphic Interp          | retation Center   | 22 MAY 1967                           |
| Memor<br>of th<br>APPROVED<br>Na<br>Distribut | ART  ART  ART  ART  ART  ART  ART  ART        | Record t  HUR C. LUNDAN Director raphic Interp | retation Center   | 22 MAY 1967                           |

SECRET